



Evaluating the virucidal efficacy of hydrogen peroxide vapour

S.M. Goyal^{a,*}, Y. Chander^a, S. Yezli^b, J.A. Otter^{b,c}

^a Department of Veterinary Population Medicine, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN, USA

^b Bioquell UK Ltd, Andover, UK

^c Centre for Clinical Infection and Diagnostics Research (CIDR), Department of Infectious Diseases, King's College London School of Medicine and Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 February 2013

Accepted 11 February 2014

Available online 27 February 2014

Keywords:

Hydrogen peroxide vapour
HPV

Feline calicivirus

Norovirus

Influenza virus

Adenovirus

Transmissible gastroenteritis
virus

SARS

Disinfection

Decontamination

SUMMARY

Background: Surface contamination has been implicated in the transmission of certain viruses, and surface disinfection can be an effective measure to interrupt the spread of these agents.

Aim: To evaluate the in-vitro efficacy of hydrogen peroxide vapour (HPV), a vapour-phase disinfection method, for the inactivation of a number of structurally distinct viruses of importance in the healthcare, veterinary and public sectors. The viruses studied were: feline calicivirus (FCV, a norovirus surrogate); human adenovirus type 1; transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus of pigs (TGEV, a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus [SARS-CoV] surrogate); avian influenza virus (AIV); and swine influenza virus (SwIV).

Methods: The viruses were dried on stainless steel discs in 20- or 40- μ L aliquots and exposed to HPV produced by a Clarus L generator (Bioquell, Horsham, PA, USA) in a 0.2-m³ environmental chamber. Three vaporized volumes of hydrogen peroxide were tested in triplicate for each virus: 25, 27 and 33 mL.

Findings: No viable viruses were identified after HPV exposure at any of the vaporized volumes tested. HPV was virucidal (>4-log reduction) against FCV, adenovirus, TGEV and AIV at the lowest vaporized volume tested (25 mL). For SwIV, due to low virus titre on the control discs, >3.8-log reduction was shown for the 25-mL vaporized volume and >4-log reduction was shown for the 27-mL and 33-mL vaporized volumes.

Conclusion: HPV was virucidal for structurally distinct viruses dried on surfaces, suggesting that HPV can be considered for the disinfection of virus-contaminated surfaces.

© 2014 The Healthcare Infection Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Viruses are important causes of acute and chronic diseases in humans and animals, and frequently cause community-

acquired and nosocomial infections. Surface contamination with viruses is common in households, industrial settings and healthcare facilities, and the role of these contaminated surfaces in the transmission of certain viruses, such as norovirus, is recognized increasingly.¹ Many viruses have a low infectious dose and are shed at high titres from infected individuals, even when the infection is asymptomatic.² They can contaminate dry surfaces, survive for extended periods and be transmitted to susceptible hosts from surfaces.^{2,3} Once dried on inanimate surfaces, viruses are less susceptible to disinfection than when

* Corresponding author. Address: Department of Veterinary Population Medicine, University of Minnesota, 1333 Gortner Avenue, Saint Paul, MN 55108, USA. Tel.: +1 612 625 2714; fax: +1 612 624 8707.

E-mail address: goyal001@umn.edu (S.M. Goyal).

hydrated in suspension.⁴ This susceptibility is further reduced by the presence of organic soil and viral clumping.⁴

Disinfection of surfaces is an effective method for reducing the risk of exposure to viruses and interrupting their spread.⁵ However, some viruses, such as norovirus, are resistant to some commonly used hospital disinfectants.^{6,7} In addition, conventional manual disinfection of surfaces is labour intensive, and it appears that a two-stage disinfection procedure, including surface rehydration followed by disinfection, is required for effective inactivation of viruses dried on surfaces.^{4,6} Reliance on an operator to ensure appropriate selection, formulation, distribution and contact time of the agent further limits the repeatability and efficacy of the manual disinfection process. Hence, viral contamination can persist after standard or even enhanced manual environmental cleaning and disinfection.^{6,8–10}

Hydrogen peroxide vapour (HPV) is a novel 'no-touch' automated decontamination technology that removes the reliance on the operator to ensure distribution, contact time and process repeatability, and has therefore been used for environmental decontamination in various settings to improve the efficacy of disinfection.¹¹ Two types of HPV are available: non-condensing vaporized hydrogen peroxide (VHP) technology (Steris) and condensing HPV technology (Bioquell). Condensing systems inject hydrogen peroxide until the air in the enclosure becomes saturated and hydrogen peroxide begins to condense on surfaces. Non-condensing systems dry the vapour stream as it is returned to the generator.¹² Both condensing and non-condensing systems are registered with the Environmental Protection Agency, and have well-established efficacy against bacterial spores and other microbes.^{12–14} A study published in the 1990s evaluated the efficacy of a non-condensing VHP system against a range of viruses.¹⁴ However, limited evidence is available for the virucidal activity of condensing HPV systems. Recently, several studies have demonstrated the in-vitro activity of condensing HPV systems against individual viruses, including feline calicivirus (FCV),¹¹ adenovirus,¹⁵ lactococcal bacteriophages¹⁶ and MS2 coliphage.¹⁷ However, to the authors' knowledge, no studies published to date have evaluated the efficacy of condensing HPV systems against a range of viruses exposed under the same conditions.

As such, this study evaluated the in-vitro virucidal efficacy of a condensing HPV system against a number of structurally distinct viruses of importance in the healthcare, veterinary and public sectors. These included a small non-enveloped RNA virus (FCV, a norovirus surrogate), a larger non-enveloped DNA virus (human adenovirus) and three enveloped RNA viruses: transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus (TGEV, a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus [SARS-CoV] surrogate), avian influenza virus (AIV) and swine influenza virus (SwIV).

Materials and methods

Viruses

The following five viruses were used: FCV (strain 255) as a surrogate of human norovirus, TGEV (Purdue strain) as a surrogate for SARS (severe acute respiratory syndrome) virus, human adenovirus type 1 (hADV-1), AIV (A/chicken/Maryland/2007[H9N9]) and SwIV (A/swine/Minnesota/2010 [H3N2]). FCV, TGEV and hADV-1 were grown in CRFK, ST and A-549 cells,

respectively, while AIV and SwIV were grown in MDCK cells. The cells were grown in Eagle's MEM (Mediatech, Herndon, VA, USA) supplemented with 150 IU/mL penicillin, 150 µg/mL streptomycin, 50 µg/mL neomycin, 1 µg/mL fungizone and 8% foetal bovine serum. Viruses were harvested from infected cells by three freeze–thaw cycles followed by centrifugation at 2000 g for 20 min. The supernatant was aliquoted and stored at –80 °C until use.

HPV exposure

Sterile 10-mm-diameter 18/8 stainless steel (grade 304) discs (Mesa Labs, Lakewood, CO, USA) were inoculated with 20 µL of virus suspension of FCV, hADV-1 and TGEV. For AIV and SwIV, 40 µL of virus suspension was used because their initial titres were lower than those of the other three viruses. No additional soiling was added, apart from the 8% foetal bovine serum in the culture medium. After virus application, the discs were placed inside a biosafety cabinet to dry for 30 min. After drying, the discs were placed in 24-well tissue culture plates (one disc per well) without lids. For each experiment, three inoculated discs were exposed to HPV in an environmental chamber and one disc was kept in a separate control plate, which was kept outside the environmental chamber at room temperature for the duration of the test. Three independent tests were performed for each vaporized volume of hydrogen peroxide.

HPV was produced using a Clarus L generator (Bioquell, Horsham, PA, USA). The Clarus L generator, which is situated outside the enclosure, converts 35% w/w liquid hydrogen peroxide into HPV using a vaporizer heated to 120 °C, and circulates the HPV through the environmental chamber via a supply and return hose. Hydrogen peroxide was injected at 2 mL/min for 1, 2 or 5 min followed by 1.5 mL/min for 15 min equating to three different volumes: 25, 27 and 33 mL. The concentration of HPV and temperature in the environmental chamber during the cycle was not measured. Following HPV injection, the air in the environmental chamber was routed through an activated carbon filter to break down the hydrogen peroxide to oxygen and water vapour. When the concentration of HPV in the environmental chamber reached <1 ppm, as determined by a hydrogen peroxide hand-held sensor through a sampling port, all test discs were removed. The total exposure time, including injection and aeration (the breakdown of hydrogen peroxide), was approximately 2–3 h, varying with the amount of hydrogen peroxide being vaporized. After completion of each run, discs were removed from the environmental chamber and titrated to determine the amount of surviving virus along with the control disc.

In addition to the virus test discs, four Tyvek-packaged *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* biological indicators (BIs) (Mesa Labs) with a certified population of >6-log₁₀ spores/disc were placed in the corners of the environmental chamber in alternating high and low locations and used as a standard indicator for the HPV decontamination cycles.¹⁸ BIs were removed from the environmental chamber following HPV exposure, transferred into test tubes containing trypticase soy broth, incubated at 65 °C, and examined for bacterial growth daily for seven days. An unexposed BI was transferred into trypticase soy broth and incubated with each batch as a positive control.

Virus titration

Surviving virus from HPV-exposed and unexposed (control) discs was eluted with 0.5 mL of an elution buffer (3% beef extract, 0.05 M glycine, pH 7.2) followed by vigorous pipetting to aid virus elution. Serial 10-fold dilutions of the eluates were prepared in Eagle's MEM followed by inoculation of appropriate cells grown in 96-well microtitre plates (using four wells/dilution). The inoculated plates were incubated at 37 °C and examined daily for four days for the appearance of virus-induced cytopathic effects. Log virus reductions were calculated by comparing the titres of the exposed discs with those of the control discs.

Results

All BIs (*G. stearothermophilus*) exposed to HPV were inactivated, while all control BIs had visible growth following overnight incubation. The results of virus inactivation are summarized in Table I. The log reductions in virus titres were calculated by comparing the HPV-exposed and control discs. All viruses were inactivated completely after HPV exposure in the 25-, 27- and 33-mL cycles. HPV was virucidal (>4-log reduction) against FCV, adenovirus, TGEV and AIV at the lowest vaporized volume of hydrogen peroxide tested (25 mL). For SwIV, due to low virus titre on the control discs, a >3.8-log reduction was shown for the 25-mL volume and >4-log reduction was shown for the 27-mL and 33-mL vaporized volumes. As no virus was detected on any of the HPV-exposed discs, it is not possible to comment on the relative susceptibility of the viruses.

Discussion

A carrier test method was used to evaluate the virucidal efficacy of HPV against a number of structurally distinct viruses of importance in the healthcare, veterinary and public sectors. These included a small non-enveloped RNA virus (FCV), a larger non-enveloped DNA virus (adenovirus) and three enveloped RNA viruses (TGEV, AIV and SwIV). HPV was virucidal (>4-log reduction) at the lowest dose tested against all viruses.

Virucidal susceptibility testing of disinfectants is often performed in suspension tests. These can overestimate the virucidal activity of chemical agents compared with carrier methods because viruses are more resistant on surfaces than in suspension.^{4,19} This study tested the virucidal efficacy of HPV in a surface carrier test with viruses dried on stainless steel

discs, as this was considered to resemble real environmental conditions more closely.

Norovirus is the most common cause of gastroenteritis in humans worldwide.²⁰ It has a low infective dose, is shed at high concentrations and causes considerable environmental contamination, which has been implicated in its transmission.^{1,2} Thus, cleaning and disinfection of contaminated surfaces is important in the control of this virus in hospital and community outbreaks.^{1,21} A range of disinfectants, including chlorine-based agents, are active against norovirus surrogates *in vitro*.²² However, the virus is resilient in the environment, able to survive for days on dry surfaces,³ and can persist despite bleach disinfection.^{6,8} In the absence of a simple cell culture system to culture human norovirus, surrogate viruses such as FCV have been used in disinfection studies.²³ Bentley *et al.*¹¹ investigated the efficacy of HPV against FCV strain F9 dried on materials representative of a hospital setting (stainless steel, glass, vinyl flooring, ceramic tile and PVC plastic cornering). They reported that HPV reduced the viral titre by 4-log on all surfaces tested within 20 min of exposure in a microbiological safety cabinet. The present finding that HPV was virucidal against FCV [>5 -log reduction in tissue culture infective dose (TCID₅₀)] is similar to the results of Bentley *et al.*,¹¹ despite recovery of a lower inoculum from the control discs (>5-log compared with >7-log) and the fact that a different strain of the virus was tested.

Influenza A viruses (including AIV and SwIV) are associated with annual epidemics and occasional pandemics, and have recently been the focus of serious global public health concerns in humans.²⁴ Contaminated environmental surfaces are known to contribute to the spread of these viruses,² and various physical and chemical disinfection processes have been shown to inactivate influenza virus on surfaces²⁵ including aerosolized and vapour-phase hydrogen peroxide.^{14,26,27} Many of these studies, however, were limited by the relatively low titre of virus recovered on the controls after the process because of low initial virus titre and loss of viability due to long exposure times and exposure to ambient environmental conditions.^{14,26} Due to the relatively short drying and exposure time in this experiment, it was possible to achieve a higher titre on the control discs at the end of the process than in previous studies.^{14,26} No AIV or SwIV was detected after exposure to HPV, and a >4-log reduction was achieved for the 27-mL and 33-mL doses.

Adenovirus is an important human pathogen, and recombinant adenoviruses are used widely in biomedical and industrial settings as a gene transfer tool.¹⁵ Adenoviruses are capable of survival when dried on to surfaces for up to three months.³ As

Table I

Virucidal efficacy of hydrogen peroxide vapour (HPV) against viruses dried on stainless steel discs

Virus (strain)	Log ₁₀ reduction in virus titre (TCID ₅₀) ^a (SD) after exposure to vaporized volumes of hydrogen peroxide		
	25 mL ^b	27 mL ^b	33 mL ^b
Human adenovirus (type 1)	>5.61 (0.19)	>5.61 (0.51)	>4.83 (0.33)
Feline calicivirus (strain 255)	>5.94 (0.51)	>6.28 (0.39)	>6.16 (0.00)
TGEV (Purdue, type 1)	>5.05 (0.19)	>4.94 (0.19)	>5.28 (0.69)
Avian influenza virus (H9N9)	>4.08 (0.58)	>4.50 (0.25)	>4.83 (0.29)
Swine influenza virus (H3N2)	>3.83 (0.14)	>4.92 (0.63)	>4.75 (0.50)

TCID₅₀, transmission culture infective dose; TGEV, transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus; SD, standard deviation.

^a Log₁₀ reduction calculated by comparing the virus titre recovered from the control and HPV-exposed discs.

^b No virus particles were detected on the test discs.

such, implementation of effective decontamination procedures in these settings is critical to minimize the risk of human exposure to the virus and to prevent product cross-contamination.¹⁵ Various liquid chemical disinfectants are capable of inactivating adenovirus.^{28,29} A previous study has shown that HPV is effective (>8-log reduction in TCID₅₀) against a high titre of recombinant adenovirus (Ad5GFP) dried on surfaces.¹⁵ Similarly, in this study, HPV was virucidal (>4-log reduction in TCID₅₀) against a clinically significant human adenovirus.

TGEV is a porcine pathogen causing lethal enteric infections for suckling piglets. It has serious financial implications for the pig industry, and is often used as a surrogate for SARS-CoV.^{7,30} TGEV has been shown to survive for days on surfaces under ambient conditions.³⁰ Studies have shown that various physical and chemical disinfectants are effective against TGEV, but efficacy varies.^{7,31} This study found HPV to be virucidal (>4-log reduction) against TGEV type 1 dried on stainless steel surfaces.

The strengths of this study include the use of carrier tests rather than suspension tests to determine the efficacy of HPV. Most previous studies of the Bioquell system (condensing) have tested efficacy against a single virus.^{11,15,17} This study extended previous findings by testing a range of structurally distinct viruses with varied susceptibility levels to disinfection tested under the same conditions, and found that they were equally susceptible to HPV. The drying and exposure times in this study were relatively short, and the log reductions were calculated from control discs enumerated at the same time as the test samples. This helped to reduce the impact of ambient conditions on the viability of the viruses, and gave a more accurate measure of HPV-attributed inactivation of the viruses.

This study has some limitations. Simulated soiling was not applied to the virus samples, although the presence of foetal bovine serum in the medium used to grow the viruses can be taken as a 'soil load' in this test. HPV is designed to be used on clean surfaces, so soiling and organic matter would be cleaned before the process was used. However, further studies including higher levels of organic soiling are warranted. Stainless steel discs were used as the substrate in this study. These only mimic one type of material that HPV could be used to decontaminate. Several studies have indicated that the efficacy of HPV is not significantly different on a range of hard surfaces commonly used in health care.^{11,13} However, future studies should address the efficacy of HPV for the inactivation of viruses on a range of materials.

In summary, respiratory and enteric viruses can be shed at high concentrations, and contaminate and survive for long periods on environmental surfaces; this has been shown to play a role in their transmission. Effective disinfection of the environment is key for interrupting transmission from the environment, especially as many of these viruses have a very low infective dose. However, this is not always achieved by conventional cleaning and disinfection techniques due to inherent limitations in the process and variation in viruses' resistance to the disinfectant. HPV, a vapour-phase disinfection method, was virucidal on structurally distinct viruses dried on surfaces, and hence HPV can be considered for the disinfection of virus-contaminated surfaces.

Conflict of interest statement

SY and JAO are employed by Bioquell. All other authors have no potential conflicts of interest.

Funding sources

None.

References

1. Otter JA, Yezli S, French GL. The role played by contaminated surfaces in the transmission of nosocomial pathogens. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011;**32**:687–699.
2. Yezli S, Otter JA. Minimum infective dose of the major human respiratory and enteric viruses transmitted through food and the environment. *Food Environ Virol* 2011;**3**:1–30.
3. Kramer A, Schwebke I, Kampf G. How long do nosocomial pathogens persist on inanimate surfaces? A systematic review. *BMC Infect Dis* 2006;**6**:130.
4. Terpstra FG, van den Blink AE, Bos LM, et al. Resistance of surface-dried virus to common disinfection procedures. *J Hosp Infect* 2007;**66**:332–338.
5. Dettlenkofer M, Spencer RC. Importance of environmental decontamination – a critical view. *J Hosp Infect* 2007;**65**(Suppl. 2):55–57.
6. Barker J, Vipond IB, Bloomfield SF. Effects of cleaning and disinfection in reducing the spread of norovirus contamination via environmental surfaces. *J Hosp Infect* 2004;**58**:42–49.
7. Hulkower RL, Casanova LM, Rutala WA, Weber DJ, Sobsey MD. Inactivation of surrogate coronaviruses on hard surfaces by health care germicides. *Am J Infect Control* 2011;**39**:401–407.
8. Morter S, Bennet G, Fish J, et al. Norovirus in the hospital setting: virus introduction and spread within the hospital environment. *J Hosp Infect* 2011;**77**:106–112.
9. Gallimore CI, Taylor C, Gennery AR, et al. Contamination of the hospital environment with gastroenteric viruses: comparison of two pediatric wards over a winter season. *J Clin Microbiol* 2008;**46**:3112–3115.
10. Ganime AC, Carvalho-Costa FA, Mendonca MC, et al. Group A rotavirus detection on environmental surfaces in a hospital intensive care unit. *Am J Infect Control* 2012;**40**:544–547.
11. Bentley K, Dove BK, Parks SR, Walker JT, Bennett AM. Hydrogen peroxide vapour decontamination of surfaces artificially contaminated with norovirus surrogate feline calicivirus. *J Hosp Infect* 2012;**80**:116–121.
12. Otter JA, Yezli S, Perl TM, Barbut F, French GL. The role of 'no-touch' automated room disinfection systems in infection prevention and control. *J Hosp Infect* 2013;**83**:1–13.
13. Barbut F, Yezli S, Otter JA. Activity in vitro of hydrogen peroxide vapour against *Clostridium difficile* spores. *J Hosp Infect* 2012;**80**:85–87.
14. Heckert RA, Best M, Jordan LT, Dulac GC, Eddington DL, Sterritt WG. Efficacy of vaporized hydrogen peroxide against exotic animal viruses. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1997;**63**:3916–3918.
15. Berrie E, Andrews L, Yezli S, Otter JA. Hydrogen peroxide vapour (HPV) inactivation of adenovirus. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2011;**52**:555–558.
16. Otter JA, Budde-Niekkel A. Hydrogen peroxide vapor: a novel method for the environmental control of lactococcal bacteriophages. *J Food Prot* 2009;**72**:412–414.
17. Pottage T, Richardson C, Parks S, Walker JT, Bennett AM. Evaluation of hydrogen peroxide gaseous disinfection systems to decontaminate viruses. *J Hosp Infect* 2010;**74**:55–61.
18. Hall L, Otter JA, Chewins J, Wengenack NL. Use of hydrogen peroxide vapor for deactivation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in a biological safety cabinet and a room. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;**45**:810–815.
19. Sattar SA, Springthorpe VS, Adegunrin O, Zafer AA, Busa M. A disc-based quantitative carrier test method to assess the virucidal activity of chemical germicides. *J Virol Methods* 2003;**112**:3–12.
20. Patel MM, Hall AJ, Vinje J, Parashar UD. Noroviruses: a comprehensive review. *J Clin Virol* 2009;**44**:1–8.

21. Protano C, Vitali M, Raitano A, Sancin A, Agolini G. Is there still space for the implementation of antiseptics and disinfection to prevent rotavirus and norovirus gastroenteritis outbreaks? *J Prev Med Hyg* 2008;**49**:55–60.
22. Scott FW. Virucidal disinfectants and feline viruses. *Am J Vet Res* 1980;**41**:410–414.
23. Steinmann J. Surrogate viruses for testing virucidal efficacy of chemical disinfectants. *J Hosp Infect* 2004;**56**(Suppl. 2):S49–S54.
24. Pratt RJ. The global swine flu pandemic 1: exploring the background to influenza viruses. *Nurs Times* 2009;**105**:18–21.
25. Jeong EK, Bae JE, Kim IS. Inactivation of influenza A virus H1N1 by disinfection process. *Am J Infect Control* 2010;**38**:354–360.
26. Tuladhar E, Terpstra P, Koopmans M, Duizer E. Virucidal efficacy of hydrogen peroxide vapour disinfection. *J Hosp Infect* 2012;**80**:110–115.
27. Rudnick SN, McDevitt JJ, First MW, Spengler JD. Inactivating influenza viruses on surfaces using hydrogen peroxide or triethylene glycol at low vapor concentrations. *Am J Infect Control* 2009;**37**:813–819.
28. Sattar SA, Springthorpe VS, Karim Y, Loro P. Chemical disinfection of non-porous inanimate surfaces experimentally contaminated with four human pathogenic viruses. *Epidemiol Infect* 1989;**102**:493–505.
29. Dettenkofer M, Block C. Hospital disinfection: efficacy and safety issues. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2005;**18**:320–325.
30. Casanova LM, Jeon S, Rutala WA, Weber DJ, Sobsey MD. Effects of air temperature and relative humidity on coronavirus survival on surfaces. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010;**76**:2712–2717.
31. Brown Jr TT. Laboratory evaluation of selected disinfectants as virucidal agents against porcine parvovirus, pseudorabies virus, and transmissible gastroenteritis virus. *Am J Vet Res* 1981;**42**:1033–1036.